



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.            |  |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 09/674,237                        | 07/24/2001     | Sean E. Egan         | 3477-89             | 4932                        |  |
| 20792 7                           | 590 04/06/2005 |                      | EXAMINER            |                             |  |
| MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC      |                |                      | DAVIS, MINH TAM B   |                             |  |
| PO BOX 37428<br>RALEIGH, NC 27627 |                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER                |  |
| ,                                 |                |                      | 1642                |                             |  |
| •                                 |                |                      |                     | D. TE. M. W. ED. 04/06/2006 |  |

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | 09/674,237   | EGAN ET AL.  |  |  |
| Examiner-induced interview duminary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |
|   | MISOOK YU, Ph.D.   | 1642   |  |  |
| All Participants:   | Status of Application:                                       |  |  |  |
| (1) MISOOK YU, Ph.D.  | (3)  |  |  |  |
| (2) <u>Karen Magri</u> .  | (4)  |  |  |  |
| Date of Interview: 4 April 2005   | Time:  |  |  |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:  | nt's representative)   |  |  |  |
| Part I.   |  |  |  |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:   |  |  |  |  |
| Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:   |  |  |  |  |
| Part II.  |  |  |  |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet  | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS                                       | B DISCUSSED:   |  |  |
| Part III.   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul> | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |
| ,   |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |
| missoh y 4/4/05   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant's Representative Signature                         | gnature – if appropriate)                                  |  |  |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to the exmainer's remark that the after-final amendment filed on 1/14/05 is being reviwed by this examiner (Misook Yu) because of the examiner in charge of the application not available for review, Ms. Magri stated that an amendment would be filed today based on the agreement made between Examiner Ungar and Ms. Magri last week.

miss y 414105

MISOOK YU PATENT EXAMINER